Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Reports Volume 343

$(Replaces\ Prior\ Cumulative\ Table)$

AGW Sono Partners, LLC v. Downtown Soho, LLC. Breach of commercial lease agreement; unjust enrichment; damages; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that defendants had failed to establish, by preponderance of evidence, that their breach of contract was excused by doctrines of impossibility and frustration of purpose, in light of certain executive orders issued by governor that limited various commercial activities at bar and restaurants throughout the state during public health and civil preparedness emergencies that governor declared in response to COVID-19 pandemic; whether executive orders restricting operations of defendants' restaurant rendered performance of lease agreement impossible as matter of law; whether shudown and restrictions compelled by executive orders frustrated purpose of lease agreement; claim that trial court improperly assigned plaintiff lessor, as nonbreaching party, burden	309
of proving that it had mitigated its damages in attempting to lease premises to new lessee; whether lessor or lessee bears burden of proving that lessor failed to undertake commercially reasonable efforts to mitigate its damages when lessee breached lease agreement.	
Aurora Loan Services, LLC v. Gabriel (Order)	911
Baker v. Argueta (Order)	901
Bank of America, National Assn. v. Sorrentino (Order)	912 909
Bank of New York Mellon v. Horsey (Order)	909 347
Barlow v. Commissioner of Correction	347
plea offer if he had been adequately advised.	
Buelher v. Buelher (Order)	917
Centerplan Construction Co., LLC v. Hartford. Contract for construction of baseball stadium; breach of contract; counterclaim for breach of contract and breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing; whether plaintiff developer waived its right to contest errors by defendant city that occurred prior to execution of term sheet; whether city maintained control of and responsibility for stadium design and architectural firm's work from time that city contracted with plaintiffs until time that city assigned to plaintiffs its agreement with architectural firm; whether language in city's assignment of its agreement with architectural firm to plaintiffs plainly and unambiguously provided that plaintiffs had legal control of and responsibility for architectural firm and stadium design upon execution of that assignment until term sheet was executed; whether assignment's plain and unambiguous language established that city retained all obligations as to architectural firm arising out of architectural firm's services before assignment was executed; whether term sheet was unclear as to whether city or plaintiffs had control of architectural firm and stadium design after execution of term sheet until time that city terminated its contractual relationship with plaintiffs; whether term sheet unambiguously divested plaintiff builder of right, in its agreement with developer, to notice and opportunity to cure any default prior to termination.	368
Chase v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	903
C. L. v. J. E. (Order)	905
Cockayne v. Bristol Hospital, Inc. (Order)	906

Cooke v. Williams (Order)	919
Employment discrimination; claims of employment discrimination in violation of Connecticut Fair Employment Practices Act (§ 46a-60), violation of general antidiscrimination statute (§ 46a-58 (a)), and violation of Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1991 (42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.), as predicate for claim under § 46a-58 (a); whether trial court properly sustained in part and reversed in part Judicial Branch's administrative appeal from decision of defendant Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities awarding named defendant back pay, interest, and emotional distress damages in connection with named defendant's claim that branch discriminated against her on basis of gender; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that commission was authorized to award emotional distress damages and attorney's fees in employment discrimination action under general antidiscrimination statute (§ 46a-58 (a)) and that statute's civil remedies provision (§ 46a-86 (c)); whether commission exceeded its authority under federal law by adjudicating Title VII claim; claim that state law precludes commission from awarding damages for Title VII violations under §§ 46a-58 (a) and 46a-86 (c); whether trial court incorrectly concluded that state waived its sovereign immunity with respect to recovery of prejudgment and postjudgment interest on awards under § 46a-86; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that commission should have precluded named defendant from recovering emotional distress damages as sanction for purported violations of human rights referee's discovery orders; whether trial court improperly admitted certain testimony that went beyond mere gardenvariety emotional distress; whether trial court improperly vacated injunction requiring plaintiff to give named defendant option of returning to original work location, after she was transferred to other locations in retaliation for lodging harassment complaint.	90
Conroy v. Idlibi Marital dissolution; motion to open judgment on basis of fraud; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court did not abuse its discretion in denying defendant's motion to open dissolution judgment; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant's allegations, even if true, would not likely have altered ultimate resolution of parties' divorce.	201
Crouzet v. First Baptist Church of Stonington	88
dently granted. Davis v. Davis (Order) Dept. of Public Health v. Estrada (Order) Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Siladi (Order) Fenstermaker v. Fenstermaker (Order). Fulcher v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) Gassesse v. University of Connecticut (Order) Gawlik v. Malloy (Order). Gleason v. Durden (Order). Glover v. Bausch & Lomb, Inc. Claims under failure to warn provision of Connecticut Product Liability Act (CPLA) (§ 52-572q) and Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.); appeal from judgment of United States District Court for District of Connecticut dismissing plaintiffs' complaint to United States Court of Appeals for Second Circuit; certification of questions of law from Second Circuit; whether cause of action exists under failure to warn provision of CPLA based on manufacturer's alleged failure to report adverse events to federal regulator, such as federal Food and Drug Administration; whether exclusivity provision (§ 52-572n) of CPLA bars claim under CUTPA based on allegations that manufacturer deceptively and aggressively marketed and promoted product despite knowing that it presented substantial risk of injury.	917 921 918 915 918 914 919 921 513
Gonzalez v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	922

Gottesman v. Kratter (Order)	918
Hospital Media Network, LLC v. Henderson (Order)	916
Housing Authority v. Stevens (Order)	907
Celo-Hernandez v . Commissioner of Correction (Order)	911
Ill v. Manzo-Ill (Order)	909
In re Christian C. (Order) (See In re Lucia C.)	912
Ín re Lucia C. (Order)	912
J. E. v. C. L. (Order)	907
J. K. v. M. G. (Order)	915
Jones v. Law Offices of William S. Palmieri, LLC (Order)	920
Kaufman v. Synnott (Order)	923
Kelsey v. Commissioner of Correction	424
Habeas corpus; certification from Appellate Court; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed successive petition for writ of habeas corpus that was filed more than two years beyond limitation period set forth in statute (§ 52-470 (d) (1)) on ground that petitioner failed to establish good cause to overcome rebuttable presumption of unreasonable delay; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that habeas court's good cause determination is reviewed on appeal for abuse of discretion; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that habeas court did not abuse its discretion in finding that petitioner failed to establish good cause; whether lack of personal knowledge of law or change in law is relevant to good	424
cause determination.	
Lopez v. William Raveis Real Estate, Inc.	31
Housing discrimination; claim that defendant real estate salesperson unlawfully discriminated on basis of plaintiff's lawful source of income, in violation of statute (§ 46a-64c (a) (1) and (3)), by making certain statements regarding plaintiff's participation in Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program; whether trial court improperly applied ordinary listener standard in considering context of real estate salesperson's statements in determining if they conveyed any preference, limitation, or discrimination based on lawful source of income; whether real estate broker was vicariously liable for statements of real estate salesperson pursuant to statute (§ 20-312a); whether owners of property were vicariously liable for statements of real estate salesperson.	3]
Lucky 13 Industries, LLC v. Commissioner of Motor Vehicles (Order)	905
Maldonado v. Flannery	150
Negligence; personal injury; additur; certification from Appellate Court; whether trial court abused its discretion in granting plaintiffs' joint motion for additurs on ground that jury verdict awarding plaintiffs economic damages but zero noneconomic damages was internally inconsistent; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court failed to sufficiently explain in its memorandum of decision evidentiary and logical basis for its decision; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court had abused its discretion by granting plaintiffs' joint motion for additurs.	
Mercado v. Castro-Cruz (Order)	913
Mozzochi v. Purtill (Order)	911
MTGLQ Investors, L.P. v. Lakner (Order)	913
Newtown v . Gaydosh (Order)	920
Nutmeg State Crematorium, LLC v. Dept. of Energy & Environmental Protection (Order)	906
O'Brien v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	907
O'Donnell v . AXA Equitable Life Ins. Co. (Order)	910
Overly v. Overly (Order)	901
Parker v. Zoning Commission (Order)	908
Purnell v. Inland Wetlands & Watercourses Commission (Order)	908
Quint v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	922
Rafi v. Yale University School of Medicine (Order)	903
Reyes v. State (Order)	909
Salce v. Cardello (Order)	902
Saunders v. Commissioner of Correction.	1
Habeas corpus; claim that petitioner's due process rights were violated on ground	
that he was incompetent at time of his criminal trial; certification from Appellate	
Court: whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that procedural default doc-	
trine applies to competency claims; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded	
that petitioner failed to allege sufficient cause and prejudice to overcome proce-	

dural defaults; whether mental incompetency is internal to habeas petitioner; whether habeas court correctly determined that petitioner had failed to allege sufficient prejudice to survive motion to dismiss.	
Seder v. Errato (Order)	917
State v. Abraham	470
State v. Alexander	495
Felony murder; attempt to commit robbery first degree; conspiracy to commit robbery first degree; carrying pistol without permit; claim that trial court improperly denied motion for new trial with respect to certain offenses of which the defendant had been convicted; whether defendant's statements during police interrogation improperly were admitted into evidence because police continued to question him after he made equivocal statement that arguably could be construed as request for counsel; whether improper admission of statements made during interrogation was harmless beyond reasonable doubt.	
State v. Butler (Order)	904
State v. Cusson (Order)	913 906
State v. Jones (Order)	900
State v. Marrero	468
Home invasion; burglary first degree; assault second degree; certification from Appel-	
late Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that prosecutor did not engage in prosecutorial impropriety by using leading questions during his direct examination of hostile witness; certification improvidently granted.	
State v. Morel-Vargas	247
Sexual assault first degree; unpreserved claim that defendant's conviction must be reversed on ground that defendant did not personally inform trial court that he was waiving his right to testify; whether trial court was constitutionally required to obtain on-the-record waiver of right to testify from defendant, himself; whether right to testify is personal constitutional right that can be waived only by defendant himself and not by defense counsel acting on behalf of defendant; whether right to testify is among personal constitutional rights that require affirmative waiver on record by criminal defendant, himself; exercise of supervisory authority over administration of justice to require, prospectively, that trial court either canvass defendant or, in certain circumstances, inquire of defense counsel whether counsel adequately advised defendant regarding waiver of right to testify; reviewability of claim that prosecutor committed improprieties during her direct examination of victim by virtue of prosecutor's allegedly excessive use of leading questions, in violation of defendant's right to fair trial.	447
State v. Myers	447
and denied in part motions to correct illegal sentence filed by defendant, who was serving two distinct total effective sentences of eighteen years and fourteen years imprisonment for offenses he committed when he was under eighteen years of age; whether trial court properly dismissed claims that defendant was entitled to resentencing at which sentencing court would consider hallmark features of adolescence as mitigating factors pursuant to Miller v. Alabama (567 U.S. 460) and its progeny, and statute (§ 54-91g); claim that Board of Pardons and Paroles incorrectly determined defendant's parole eligibility date by basing its calculations on his shorter sentence, which resulted in later eligibility date; claim that board's incorrect parole eligibility calculation violated defendant's rights to due process and equal protection; whether trial court properly denied claim that board's calculation of parole eligibility date violated defendant's right to due process; improper form of judgment.	074
State v. Police	274
that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to dismiss information; whether John Doe arrest warrant that identified suspect on basis of general physical description and mixed partial DNA profiles violated particularity	

State v. Prudhomme (Order). 902 State v. Schlosser (Order). 923 State v. Siler (Order). 912 State v. Stephanie U. (Orders). 903, 904 State v. Sumler (Order). 903, 904 State v. Tony O. (Order). 921 State v. Torres. 208 Murder; carrying pistol without permit; claim that trial court improperly excluded evidence that state's witness was assaulted before defendant's first trial, in violation of defendant's sixth amendment rights to present defense and to confront witnesses against him; whether defendant met his burden of proving that trial court's improper exclusion of evidence relating to assault of state's witness was harmful; claim that trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to confrontation and rules of evidence by preventing defendant from impeaching state's witness with evidence of her prior criminal convictions. Stratford Police Dept. v. Board of Firearms Permit Examiners. 62 Application for issuance of state pistol permit; administrative appeal; appeal from trial court's judgment reversing decision of named defendant, Board of Firearms Permit Examiners, ordering issuance of pistol permit to defendant, denial by
State v. Siler (Order)
State v. Stephanie U. (Orders)
State v. Sumler (Order)
State v. Tony O. (Order)
State v. Torres
Murder; carrying pistol without permit; claim that trial court improperly excluded evidence that state's witness was assaulted before defendant's first trial, in violation of defendant's sixth amendment rights to present defense and to confront witnesses against him; whether defendant met his burden of proving that trial court's improper exclusion of evidence relating to assault of state's witness was harmful; claim that trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to confrontation and rules of evidence by preventing defendant from impeaching state's witness with evidence of her prior criminal convictions. Stratford Police Dept. v. Board of Firearms Permit Examiners
evidence that state's witness was assaulted before defendant's first trial, in violation of defendant's sixth amendment rights to present defense and to confront witnesses against him; whether defendant met his burden of proving that trial court's improper exclusion of evidence relating to assault of state's witness was harmful; claim that trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to confrontation and rules of evidence by preventing defendant from impeaching state's witness with evidence of her prior criminal convictions. Stratford Police Dept. v. Board of Firearms Permit Examiners
tion of defendant's sixth amendment rights to present defense and to confront witnesses against him; whether defendant met his burden of proving that trial court's improper exclusion of evidence relating to assault of state's witness was harmful; claim that trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to confrontation and rules of evidence by preventing defendant from impeaching state's witness with evidence of her prior criminal convictions. Stratford Police Dept. v. Board of Firearms Permit Examiners
witnesses against him; whether defendant met his burden of proving that trial court's improper exclusion of evidence relating to assault of state's witness was harmful; claim that trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to confrontation and rules of evidence by preventing defendant from impeaching state's witness with evidence of her prior criminal convictions. Stratford Police Dept. v. Board of Firearms Permit Examiners
court's improper exclusion of evidence relating to assault of state's witness was harmful; claim that trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to confrontation and rules of evidence by preventing defendant from impeaching state's witness with evidence of her prior criminal convictions. Stratford Police Dept. v. Board of Firearms Permit Examiners
harmful; claim that trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to confrontation and rules of evidence by preventing defendant from impeaching state's witness with evidence of her prior criminal convictions. Stratford Police Dept. v. Board of Firearms Permit Examiners
confrontation and rules of evidence by preventing defendant from impeaching state's witness with evidence of her prior criminal convictions. Stratford Police Dept. v. Board of Firearms Permit Examiners
state's witness with evidence of her prior criminal convictions. Stratford Police Dept. v. Board of Firearms Permit Examiners
Stratford Police Dept. v. Board of Firearms Permit Examiners
Application for issuance of state pistol permit; administrative appeal; appeal from trial court's judgment reversing decision of named defendant, Board of Firearms
trial court's judgment reversing decision of named defendant, Board of Firearms
plaintiff police department of pistol permit application on basis of applicant's
prior conviction of criminal possession of controlled substance in New York; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that statute (§ 29-28 (b) (2) (B)) auto-
matically disqualifies pistol permit applicant with out-of-state conviction that
is equivalent to conviction under statute (§ 21a-279) proscribing possession
of controlled substance from receiving permit; whether trial court improperly
substituted its judgment for that of board following board's determination that
applicant was suitable person to obtain pistol permit.
Tajay H. v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)
U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. Black (Order)
Vacirca v. Zoning Board of Appeals (Order)
V. V. v. E. V. (Order)
Zubrowski v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)